The Researching Infant

Anna Tardos

Child Psychologist

Director of the Pikler Institute, Budapest

We will present here some results of the studies we have conducted about the development and characteristics of the infant's interaction with objects (toys).

The research work done by Emmi Pikler and her colleagues has enriched our knowledge of the developmental capacities of infants and young children. The systematic observation of an infant's gross motor behavior in the context of free initiative gives a new perspective on the unknown abilities inherent in infants.

Observing the activities of infants with objects (toys) in their nearest environment while following their interest without the intervention or direct stimulation of the adult, allowed us to understand in greater depth the role of manipulating objects in the cognitive development of the infant. It can be named in different ways as fine motor activity, manipulation, manual activity, dialogue with objects, visual – tactile exploration, or under the broader term of the infant's "playing activity".

I will present here the results of my research which have never been translated from Hungarian until now.

To the best of my knowledge, there has not been a similar published study on the development and richness of the manipulation activity in infants. This lack can probably be explained by two factors. One is that researchers are more interested in what and how the infant learns from adults rather than from spontaneous exploration. The second reason lies in the difficulty of observing free play in the traditional caregiving context where the infant is tied to the mother's body or placed in a baby chair or a walker or spends a long time in a sitting position when he cannot sit up on his own and cannot leave the sitting position. This context as described does not facilitate manipulation activity. An infant propped up in sitting or placed in a chair cannot choose simple, available toys placed around him, nor can he reach for them in case they are dropped or lost.

Aims of the study

We wished to examine:

- 1. The nature and the proportion of activities other than gross motor activities that infants are able to perform during the first year of life.
- 2. The different forms of spontaneous manipulation of objects that infants are able to carry out.

Sample and procedure

I will present here a micro analytical study of 6 infants, ages 3-12 months living at our institute in a secure relationship. Data taken three times a week of more than 700 observation protocols, each time for 25-minute duration, with quarter minute details, form the basis of the study. The observed infants were in a good, stable, emotional state and spent their awake time in their usual spacious playing area suitable for free movement. Simple, non-over stimulating toys (to prevent distraction with sounds, lights or movements) were placed around the infants. There was no toy hanging above an infant's head. No change was made in the children's environment for the sake of the study. The observer took a seat outside the playing area and was quietly and tactfully following and recording an infant's activity.

When we summarized how much time the observed children spent with a specific form of activity, we took into account only forms of activity that lasted without interruption at least half a minute.



Results

1. The nature and the length of activities other than gross motor ones that infants are able to perform during their first year of life.

a. Getting acquainted with his own hands

As shown in Figure 1, four month-old infants spent an average of 5 out of the 25 minutes watching their hands. This activity then gradually decreased and almost

disappeared by the 7th month.

b. Visual exploration

As shown in Figure 2, visual exploration was at its peak during the first eight

months and then declined.

We have found this activity to be the most frequent during the 5th and 6th months, when it takes up an average of 8 and a half of the of the 25 observed minutes. In spite of the individual differences found among the infants, this pattern of change was observed in all of them, as shown in

Figure 3.

The infant's gaze was primarily focused on the caregiver, but also towards other adults in the room, peers, toys and other objects. They displayed significant differences in the relative distribution of their attention, and to our surprise, these preferences remained stable over time.

c. Manipulation of toys

As shown in Figure 4, manipulation of objects, the main focus of our study, gradually plays a more and more important role, starting from the 6th month, in

parallel with the gross motor development. While visual exploration decreases, time spent with manipulation increases, in a kind of a developmental interchange.

As with visual exploration, individual differences in the time spent in manipulation differentiated the infants, as shown in Figure 5.

When manual activities appear, the increase of their quantity is rather fast. Starting from the 9th month, children spent more than 10 minutes manipulating toys, on the average. Again, within this pattern, we found significant individual differences in the amount of time spent with manipulation of objects.

Figure 6 summarizes the patterns of change of activities over the first year







FIGURE 4. TIME SPENT WITH EXPLORING MANIPULATION.

Observed time



FIGURE 6. TIME SPENT WITH DOMINATING FORMS OTHER THAN GROSS MOTOR ACTIVITIES.

Observed time



FIGURE 5. INDIVIDUAL VARIATIONS OF TIME SPENT WITH EXPLORING MANIPULATION.





2. The different forms of spontaneous manipulation of objects that we registered.

In the course of activities with objects that are simple and easy to grasp, we observed a rich variety, starting with simple touch of the object to more and more sophisticated activities, such as placing one into the other at the end of the first year. The variety of activities was much larger than expected, totaling over 100 forms of manipulation. We grouped them in 15 categories, as depicted in figure 7. The months marked by framing do not reflect the first, often random occurrence of a form of manipulation. As a result of mathematical calculation, we marked the months in the chart, during which these forms of movement appear significantly more frequently than in the preceding or following months.

The pattern of acquisition was similar for all the infants (as it is with gross motor development): at first, the new form of activity is sporadic, then very frequent, and finally gradually declines though does not completely fade away, while in parallel, the next form of manipulation appears and develops following the same pattern.

FIGURE 7. TYPICAL FORMS OF MANIPULATION.

The relatively frequent occurrence periods of typical forms of manipulation between the ages of 3-12 months.

The period of relatively frequent occurrence.

The time of maximum frequency in the children group of Anna Tardos.

Our hypothesis is underlined by the mathematical analysis of our data. The relatively frequent occurrence means a significantly high density in comparison to the data of the other months. As opposed to that the times of maximum frequency do not show a significant difference to the lowest data of the periods of relatively frequent occurrence either.



The photos are from from the film by Kálló-Mózes, (2009) photos by Marian Reismann Here are some examples:

3 to 6 month-old infants typically manipulate and feel the contact with the objects by <u>holding them in their hands</u>: watching, turning, moving, grabbing, bringing them to and from their mouth.

From the 8th and 9th months, infants often play with an object <u>placed on the</u> <u>ground</u>: slides the object held in his hand on a surface, waggles it on the base etc. It seems that holding the object in his hands is no longer so important to him in order to remain in contact with it.

At approximately the same time infants begin to manipulate objects in many different ways, <u>relocating the objects in</u> <u>space</u>. For example, taking from one hand into the other, knocking the objects held in the two hands together, knocking an object held in one hand to something else, dropping it and then picking up again, pushing it off, or rolling it far away, etc.

Each form of movement has its own specific role in the development of eyehand coordination, in learning about the surface, form, weight and spatial location of the objects, and in the widening experience of how he, the infant, can impact his material environment, how he can move it or make it move.

Taking from one hand to the other, for example, seems a simple task, but in fact it requires the coordination of the opposite movement of the two hands: while one hand is letting go, the other, on the contrary, is closing on the object, and this has to be finely synchronized. Meanwhile, the parts of different shape or color of a non-symmetrical object become visible.

Around one year of age, manipulating two objects becomes predominant. <u>Putting</u> <u>in and taking out</u> become more and more frequent. These forms of activity play an especially important role in preparing logical thinking, in comparing dimensions and forms, and, later, in creating sets. Maybe, it can also have symbolic meanings, such as being together, separated and individualized. Infants around the age of one spend their time literally passionate about repeatedly putting in and taking out, emptying, and filling games.

Still bearing the symbolic meanings of the infant's play in mind, we wondered whether the challenge of moving to a new room and adjusting to a new physical environment, would impact on the infants' forms of manipulation. We try to avoid any unnecessary changes in the lives of the children, but sometimes, it is unavoidable, due to the structure of our two-level small building. Indeed, we observed that in the days and weeks following the change, the frequency of the most recently acquired forms of manipulation decreased significantly. It was only one month later that they returned to their pre-change frequency!

In contrast, the frequency of "drops and picks up" activity was unexpectedly very high and constantly rose in the first 10 days after the room change. One of the symbolical meanings may be the mastery of a "losing and finding" experience.

Discussion

The infant's playing activity develops in parallel with gross motor development and develop into more and more sophisticated forms. Infants observe the objects around them in every possible way. They gather knowledge about their attributes, size, weight, color, texture, whether they are rigid or flexible, collect sensory experiences, and learn what they can do with these objects, whether they are near or far, whether they need to make an effort, to reach out or perhaps change their position place in order to touch them, lift them, push or throw them away. Hence, infants pay attention to their own movements, the moving of their body, the objects surrounding them and the impact of their actions.

If nobody tells him what to play with and how and nobody directs or distracts his attention, the child will be preoccupied with an object as long as it interests him. He can pause and later restart his attempts. He can vary the different forms of activities: looking around, manipulating, engaging in gross motor activity. In the course of free activities, variation of the different levels of attention can also be observed among infants in their first year of life. Repeating the action enables the infant to understand and memorize it for next time.

Experiencing the consequences of his own movements, the infant's spontaneous activities become conscious and deliberate. In the course of discovering his environment, the infant asks himself questions and tries to find the answers. This continuous questioning and the desire to experiment characterize his attitude and his actions. Drawing conclusions; anticipating; memorizing; and establishing relationships between the objects, objects and himself, objects and other persons, are all part of the cognitive processes embedded in the infant's exploration and manipulation.

This attitude teaches him to set achievable tasks for himself. In this situation, devoid of external interventions, unsuccessful



attempts do not create the feeling of failure in him. Accepting the momentary failure, and changing his strategy, is part of the learning experience the infant is acquiring through observing the impacts of his actions: He learns to learn. Keeping this learning process alive is more important than the individual results themselves.

As Henri Wallon pointed out, sensomotor activity is the root of thinking, one of the components of intelligence. In the course of the sensomotor activity the child discovers, learns and returns to his previously acquired knowledge to improve them. All this provides him the experience of some continuity and it contributes to his basic sense of competence and security, as well as to building up a strong ego.

The latest research in developmental psychology has confirmed and provided new evidence of the significance of the adult's direct role to transmit knowledge. The infant e.g. gets tuned to the "educational situations" and imitates the activities of the adult with more efficiency than in the other, so-called random situations. Infants and young children acquire different knowledge from the adult and from their own experiences. These two ways of learning are complementary and neither can replace the other. Learning through the free activity does not substitute the direct learning from the adult, but completes it.

Finally, as we have shown in this study, there is a closer correlation between age and the acquisition the different forms of manipulation activities than was expected based on gross motor development. Therefore, the infant's level of maturity should not be assessed to a large extent on his gross motor development, as it is often done, but also to his fine motor manipulation, which in fact reflects his cognitive development.

Conclusion

In order to develop the optimal range and forms of sensorimotor development in the first year of life, the infant needs to be in a positive emotional state, to be given time and space for free movement and exploration, to follow his own interest, to choose from toys around him, to "lose" them in order to find them again. Future studies are needed to compare the richness of the manipulation activities and, at the same time, the characteristics of attention of children raised in the two different ways, and to confirm our clinical impression that these kinds of experiences can foster the cognitive development of the healthy infants, and also lower the risk for psychopathology, including attention deficit disorder, among these at-risk infants.

References

- Katalin Egyed, György Gergely, Ildikó Király: Tanulni másoktól és másokról (Learning from others and learning about others), Budapest 2009.
- Gy. Gergely & G. Csibra: Sylvia's recipe The role of imitation and pedagogy in the transmission of human culture. Berg Publishers, Oxford, 2006.
- György Gergely, Katalin Egyed, Ildikó Király: A természetes pedagógiáról (On natural pedagogy) Budapest, 2007.
- Emmi Pikler: Adatok a csecsemő mozgásának fejlődéséhez 1969 (Data to the Motor Development of the Infant – not available in English) (in French: Se mouvoir en liberté dés le premier age. 1979) (in German: Lasst mir Zeit 1st edition 1988) (in Italian: Datemi tempo 1996)
- Anna Tardos: Megfigyelések a környezetváltozásnak a csecsemők játéktevékenységére gyakorolt hatásáról (Observations on the impact of the changing of the environment on the playing activities of infants) Budapest, 1964.
- Anna Tardos: A 3-12 hónapos csecsemők optikus és taktilmotoros viselkedése (The optical and tactile-motor behavior of 3-12 month old infants) Budapest, 1967.

- Anna Tardos: A spontán aktivitás különböző formáinak alakulása csecsemőknél (The development of the various forms of spontaneous activities of infants) Budapest, 1971.
- Anna Tardos: Les différentes formes de l'attention du nourisson au cours de son activité autonome et pendant ses interactions avec l'adulte. (The different forms of attention in the course of autonomous activities and interactions with the adults). Edition Eres, 1998.
- Anna Tardos Myriam David: De la valeur de l'activité libre du bébé dans l'élaboration du self. Résultats et discussions de quelques recherches de l'Institut Emmi Pikler á Budapest (The value of the free activities of the baby in the elaboration of the self. Some research results and discussions from the Pikler Institute in Budapest) Devenir, 1991.
- H. Wallon: De l'acte á la pensée. Essai de psychologie comparée. (From acting to thinking. Essay of comparative psychology) Flammarion, Paris, 1942.

Recommended videos

- Anna Tardos Geneviéve Appell: A baby's Attention at Play (1990) Pikler Association, Budapest.
- Éva Kálló Eszter Mózes: Játék Cselekvés – Gondolkodás (Playing – Acting – Thinking) Budapest, 2009, Pikler Association, Budapest.

/ boolation/ budapesti

The above text based on a presentation held at the 12th Congress of WAIMH in Leipzig June 30 – July 3 2010.